

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/737,421	12/16/2003	Wolfgang Burkhardt	AO720B	6313
75	90 11/25/2005		EXAMINER	
Arthur G. Schaier			PHAN, THANH S	
Carmody & Torrance LLP P.O. Box 1110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
50 Leavenworth Street		2841		
Waterbury, CT 06721-1110			DATE MAILED: 11/25/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			AR				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/737,421	BURKHARDT ET AL.					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Thanh S. Phan	2841					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 13 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in diance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	iffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of			•				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		RST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened st above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two mon	ths of the date				
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must to AMENDMENTS	be filed within the time period set to	orth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).				
	but prior to the date of filing a brio	f will not be entered	boogues				
3. A The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	ow);	,,					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for				
(d) \square They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).							
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 8. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling							
the non-allowable claim(s).	allowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendm	nent canceling				
7. A For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wovided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	41.6						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	is necessary				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a [1].				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	ched.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	Adam NOT 1 11 11 11 11						
11. 🔲 The request for reconsideration has been considered bւ	IL GOES NOT PLACE the application i	n condition for allowa	ince because:				

SUPERVISORY PATERVI EXCACACE
TECHNOLOGY CERVIEA 2300

13. Other: ___

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's newly claimed functionalities of the device necessitated further search and/or reconsideration.